

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/691,883	HINTON ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Janet M. Wilkens	3637

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Janet M. Wilkens.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Daniel R Kimbell.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 17 February 2005

**Time:** 2:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*102(b) and 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph*

**Claims discussed:**

*1, 7, 19, 22, 27 and 29*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Sorensen (1,083,415) and Meyer et al (4,959,582)*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*In order to clearly define claims 1 and 27 over the prior art (namely the references of Sorensen and Meyer), claim language was added to these claims. Also, some minor issues were corrected in the claims.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)